

A Comprehensive International Dialogue Essential to Address Global Challenges in 2024



President Ranil Wickremesinghe addressing the Berlin Global Dialogue.

President Ranil Wickremesinghe at the Berlin Global Dialogue under the theme ‘Worldwide Economic Shocks and the Impact on the Global South’

I must begin by thanking Professor Lars-Hendrik Röller, Chairman Berlin Global Dialogue, for inviting me to this inaugural session.

Where the way I look at it, the global economy has many shocks since in the last two decades. Starting from 2008, we’ve gone through a series of the European debt crisis. Then we’ve had the COVID-19 pandemic, and the economic shocks that have come from it, the whole issue of funding for climate change, and the sovereign debt crisis.

I don’t think in any other period of modern history have we gone through this type of a crisis. And in all these instances, it’s the developing economies and the global

south that has suffered extremely. We are now faced with stubbornly high inflation in advanced economies, oil prices edging towards US \$100 a barrel, and monetary tightening by the global central banks.

One example is that Sri Lanka's export to Europe has not increased at all this year. That's an indication of how we are being affected as we try to recover from the crisis we face.

The confluences of factors face serious risk for many developing countries. In the global south, we are facing rising import costs, food, energy, insecurity, and the problems of our exports. The resulting balance of payment stress translates into a weaker economy for all of us. The difference between the advanced economies and the developing nations is that you all have all the buffers and reserves to deal with these shocks. We haven't. And it's from here that the sovereign debt crisis started.

In this context, I think the world may be on another crisis if corrective measures are not taken immediately. Many developing countries find themselves with large debt burdens. For example, IMF has no mechanism to face this new situation. When Sri Lanka declared bankruptcy, all foreign funding ceased and that started the political crisis. If not for the help given by World Bank in re-graduating Sri Lanka, and the help given by my old friend Samantha Power in funding us with fertilizers, the chances are that I may not be, I would not be able to come here today. I would not have been in the position.

It's so bad. And there's no way in which you can help any country which declares bankruptcy. But in this case, especially, I'm glad that Germany is doing its part as the largest cumulative contributor to the Green Climate Fund. We have both the climate change mitigation and debt restructuring, both of which we have to handle with minimum funds available. The funding on the table is woefully inadequate to address the vast challenges at hand. So we haven't got any money. But we do have 100 billion with the IMF. Let's start with that money. 100 billion is better than nothing. Then let's see how we can raise the rest of it. Because though I talk of Sri Lanka, I must say Africa's need, especially of the low-income countries, are far greater than ours. And the problems Africa face need not be described by me because I think there are enough representatives here. The developing countries require financing up to US 5.9 trillion to fulfil their nationally determined contributions. Then further US 4 trillion, for clean energy technology to achieve net zero emissions, look at Sri Lanka's financing needs for our climate prosperity plan to succeed. We need five billion US dollars by 2030. This makes a bankrupt country.

And with the IMF states, we'll have for the next few years a growth rate of 3.5% if you are lucky. Here again, global coordination and leadership to resolve these challenges have simply not been sufficient to address the magnitude of the urgency of the problem. So what we need here is a new architecture.

Now we've been talking of the many crises and shocks we have discussed today are interlinked. And we've been talking of what we have to do. First, we've all agreed that the core of the international financial architecture today was designed almost 80 years ago. The world has seen dramatic changes since then with many emerging economies in Asia, Middle East, South America, and Africa becoming global economic powerhouses.

However, the international architecture has seen relatively mild reforms. The international financial architecture available makes the debt restructuring too complex. This we have all agreed on. The magnitude of the challenges discussed today requires ambitious action.

Developing nations will not be able to mobilize the financing required to combat climate change. There's an urgent need for a significant increase in the envelope of concessional financing made available through the multilateral development banks.

The MDB assets are considerable. It can be deployed in risk mitigating instruments. Tax havens are still left out of this question. Why don't we bring them in the same sanctions we have applied to Russia?

There are many, the concessional financing should be accessible by a broader group of countries, including the middle-income nations facing numerous economic vulnerabilities. In the depth of Sri Lanka's economic crisis of 2022, even though the country was implementing robust IMF supported reforms, the country could not access any new foreign concessional financing due to its situation or debt distress. I must tell you that the negotiation with the IMF is certainly not tailored for these situations. It's still the old way of negotiating. So then what do we require? I think we have a whole lot of proposals, starting from the Bridgetown Initiative onwards as how to deal with it. The Paris Climate Summit is preparing a roadmap.

Now, I'm not going to add anything more to it. All I'm saying is choose from this. Let's act. But it's aggravated because the developing countries to emerge from the prevailing economic challenges, including debt request, a critical requirement, is open access to trade, investment, capital, technology force.

But unfortunately, the great power rivalries and geopolitics have been an emerging threat for such market success. The Washington Consensus was rejected in Jake Sullivan's address at the Brookings Institute. With new concept of de-risking and decoupling, the developing South, which was compelled to follow the Washington Consensus, is now asked to do a 180 degree turn even without consultation with us. Since decoupling, and since the Brookings speech, whatever it is, we have been asked to change the system. We were compelled to get into it. Now we are told this is no longer relevant.

Furthermore, Belt and Road Initiative has been labelled as a cohesive program, and the participating countries like Sri Lanka is looked upon with suspicion. This will further hurt economic prospects in the global South, and the polarization will become more evident.

For a country like Sri Lanka, we have learned to live with pressure from America, India, and China. I mean, that's nothing new for us anymore. The United States is witnessing an acrimonious run-up to the 2024 election year, which will detract from its ability to lead in this instance. It is creating a vacuum, because if the US wants a new order, the US must lead. But we know from now onwards, till end of next year, that leadership will gradually reduce as they start focusing more and more on their 2024 elections. So, then what happens to the rest of us? Then, on the other hand, you are finding a challenge to G7 and QUAD, which is the expansion of the BRICS, what you call the BRICS Plus. The South is now looking for alternative leadership. And we can see the G20 has been downgraded. So, in this situation, are we going to act in 2024?

Let's talk, it's useless giving any more solutions. It's what are we going to face? We know in 2024, the US leadership may not be there. Then who is going to act? So, I think it's a chance for the EU to come up and work with the other countries. There's no one else. So, I am suggesting that EU, together with G20 BRICS, certainly USA, and some selected other Asian and African nations not represented, the IMF and the NDBs, and you can bring in the big financial institutions, private ones, sit down and find urgent solutions.

We are talking of the summit for the future, summit for the future is in September next year. Elections are in November next year. So, I can't see a summit for the future bringing anything out before the elections take place in 2024. But 2024 is the year to act. We are watching 2024. And whether you can come up, whether we can come up with a new international architecture that better reflects the global

realities of today and provide effective representation for those who need it. So, we have the resources, we have the solutions, we just need the willpower to decide how it's going to be.

It has to be done urgently. We require a constructive dialogue between the West and China. We need a constructive dialogue between US and China. We need a constructive dialogue between EU and China. Otherwise, we will not move forward. So, this is the stark reality. It's a question of how we get together and how we work, and who's going to take the lead in 2024.



President Ranil Wickremesinghe.



Delegates from Sri Lanka including Sagala Ratnayake, Chief of Staff to the President of Sri Lanka and National Security Advisor; Aruni Wijewardane, Foreign Secretary; Sandra Perera, President's Private Secretary and other delegates.